

Feedback	
Student's Name	PRANJAL
Student's SurName	SINHA
Student's Email	2220277@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCA7031
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
In-text citations done. However, most of the references are taken from a particular open access research article. Lack of summaries and critical review on the literatures. Could have referred to diverse literatures. Lack of diversity in reviewing literatures had an impact in your work. Esp. on intersection of digital forensics, cybersec, National and International Job market, Tool comparison and feature analysis Etc	8.3

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
Discussions on current state of field done. Discussing with sub-topics/ sub headings is appreciated. The insights on National and International market needs more contribution. Could have discussed more in detail. Could have placed more detailed review. Categorising tools based on their forensic nature is highly appreciated. A tabulated approach could have given more clarity. Team Formed as per the criteria. Well managed team work. Self reflection and team member ratings for task 3 and 4 could have added more value. Appropriate Theme based scenario given. Very elaborate. The description is clear and adequate. Could have used various ranges of file types in data collection. Roles and responsibilities could have been documented appropriately. More clarity on team work could have added value to all the work/ efforts made. Thorough understanding on the given scenario is exhibited. Key challenges identified and objectives well set.	11.3

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
More discussion on the significance of Digital Forensics and cybersecurity required. Emerging trends and its key challenges discussed well. Analysis on open source and commerical tools done. Could have been more descriptive/ elaborative As a team, articulated the analysis and the investigation within the drafted scenario. The Chain of Custody was very brief. Measures taken to maintain the integrity and admissibility of the evidence. Data extraction done as per merits. Evidences identified. The discussion on the findings well documented.	16.3

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
Listed the collected data - Task 3. The process of acquiring data and setup evidences described/ documented. Different versions of this documentation is available. Different types of files collected for Task 3. Collaboration and communication was the key challenge. Comprehensive analysis on the acquired hdd done. The documentation was discussed well. Appropriate tools used. Used different tools. Could have used more techniques to analyse the evidence. Why limiting only with Cellebrite? However, as a group managed to sort out the initial challenge of password of the evidence. Not a best method to sort this, but managed to overcome the challenge. Good Successful extraction of the relevant data.	24.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20
Feedback	Mark
Well structured work. Self reflection could have added more value to the work	

Task 3 - Data collection had issues in recovering by the other team. There are many files for the same task submitted from different members in the team. Which one should be considered for marking? Team communication is the key.	15.1
Overall Mark	76